IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2689 OF 2005

Vinod Yashwant Shelke & anr. ... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.M.S.Mohite, for the Applicant.

Mr.K.V. Saste, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

28th April 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Learned A.P.P. fairly states that the Applicants are entitled for parity along with co-accused Yashwant Ramchandra Vaidu, who has been released on bail by this Court on 15th April 2005. As there are no antecedents and investigation is complete, this application succeeds on the same terms which are as follows.

(A) The Applicant No.1 Vinod Yashwant Shelke and Applicant No. 2 Suyog Dilip Jhambure (wrongly mentioned as Suyog Dilip Dungare in the charge sheet) are directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with CR.No.345/2004 registered at Bhosari Police Station, Pune, on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two local sureties in the like amount.

(B) The Applicants shall not influence the prosecution witnesses nor shall tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(C) The Applicants shall report to the nearest police station (i.e., Panvel City Police Station) once in fortnight on Saturday between 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

(D) The Applicants shall not leave the jurisdiction of Panvel City Police

Station without prior intimation to the Senior Police Inspector of Panvel City Police Staiton and advance intimation thereof be sent to the investigating officer as well as the trial Court.

(E) The Applicants shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicants are in any manner responsible for the delay in disposal of the trial, that opinion be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)